

# Application of Pareto Analysis and IshikawaDiagram on Sewing Industries firm (A Case study on Gulele Garment Share Company,Addis ababa)

Shimelis Tamene Gobena, Srinivasan Kumar

Lecturer Department of Mechanical Engineering(CET), Wollega University, Nekemte, Ethiopia Assistant ProfessorDepartment of Mechanical Engineering(CET), Wollega University, Nekemte, Ethiopia

\_\_\_\_\_

Date of Submission: 20-08-2020

Date of Acceptance: 03-09-2020

**ABSTRACT:** This project is inspired by many unavoidable issues in rejection of huge number of finishedgarment products after shipment due to quality control failure in garment manufacturing. Thefast changing of market conditions, such as global competition, declining profit margin, customer requirement for high quality product, product variety and reduced lead-time or) OTD (On-Time-Delivery of finished goods and products) play role garment etc. amajor on manufacturingindustries. The demand for higher value (means Lower price at better quality)is growing and to survive, garment manufacturers need to improve their operations through producing right things at right time. This paper discusses the quality and productivity as two parallel lines for the improvement in the garment manufacturing sector by minimizing reworks that usually occur during production process. The application of this paper is toimprove the process performance of the critical operational process, leading to better utilization of resources, decreases variations and maintains betterquality of the Process and Product output.A general overview over the developmentis given in this paper that suggests how to handle these issues and bring down rejection rate tominimum by using Parato analysis and cause& effect diagram.

**Key Words:-** Broken stitch, Sewing defects, Pareto chart,On time delivery, Quality, Inspection

# I. INTRODUCTION

The global market condition shifting in a rapid motion, generally in an industry more focus is given on profits margin, customer demand for high quality product and enhancedproductivity. In garment industries, it is accustomed that there will be a small number of rejected garments after shipment. Reasons are most of the manufacturers believed that garments are soft goods and nonrepairable defect may occur due to low quality of raw materials or faulty process or worker casual behavior.

However, plant must have guidelines over check points to control over this problem. There is no ready-madesolution that can decrease rejection percentage immediately."Follow a rule of thumb FIRST TIME, EVERY TIME" during production process,. Each order is an unique category, but this paperwork suggests an outline that how to handle such troubles and bring down rate of rejection to lowest possible range. Asit identified lot of rejected garment after shipment, most of the organization termed these garments were rejected because of those can't be repaired or) reworked by any means. Reworking is a common agenda in the garment industry which slows down the smooth production rate and focus low quality products having an impact on the overall factory economy.

Reduction of rework is a mandatory input leads to productivity quality anddevelopment.Reworks are a vital negative issues for a good production and focusing on such activities that customer is reluctant to pay for it.Also they won't believe in this philosophy now a days which is a non-value addition.By reacting agile & quicker in the minimization of reworks to make a product as per customer needs with expectation.The company can invest less money andyieldmoreprofit.

Therefore, a study was carried out in a garment industry named "M/S Gullele Garment Share Company located at Gullele (Asko), Addis Ababa, Ethiopia" at sewing section to identify reworksso as to eliminate them for reducing time& cost as well as better product quality. Gullele GarmentShare Company is one of the famous Garment Industries found in Ethiopia and established in the year 1983@Addis Ababa Gulele



Sub city. It produces different clothes such as T-Shirt, Sport wear, MilitaryUniform for export as well as domestic sales in the country. The Industry has three main sections namely, cuttingsection, Sewing section and Finishing Section. This paper focuses on the sewing section of thegarment to improve the productivity, production, minimizing time and increasing profitof company which highly affect the economy of the country

# **1.1 Problem Statement**

To be effective in identifying defects lead to rejection, it is essential to establish and maintain clear, complete Pre-planned records of inspection and assessed procedures for each operation. These should identify& Set criteria records for acceptance/rejection. In the garment Manufacturing Industry, the main raw material is fabric; others include different types of trimming accessories. Operational wastages in the garment industrie's processes are top surface rework, printed label rework, sewing defects, pinhole rework, fabric defects, improper fly shape, and other reworks. To achieve the overall objective, minimizing defects rejection of finished products it is and compulsoryto establish document and maintain a system capable of ensuring that products conform the Set specifications. This will be mandatory at every stage of manufacture. Those errors and defects lead tofailures which again effect badly in future marketing of goods

# **II. LITERATURE REVIEW**

The quality competition in the current global market is an issue translating into a vast needforthe continuing growth of the industry. Therefore, world business is continually in search forthe competitive frame due to the growing demands of customer requirements and expectations. Qualityhas an important role in the business process across the entire organization, to be more efficientand effective in the global promote, thus improving productivity and customer loyalty as well asincrease in market share.

Quality is a concept that definition has changed within a time. Quality meant"conformance to valid customer requirements" i.e. long as an output are withinacceptable limits, called specification limits, around a preferred value, called the nominal value, ortarget value, it was deemed conforming, good, or acceptable.(Deming, 1950). According to Montgomery (2005), quality is one of the most important decision factors in theselection of products and services. Therefore, quality leads to business success, growth, and increases competitiveness, as well as improves the work environment. Additionally, it involves the employee in achieving the corporate goals and brings a substantial return on investment (ROI)

Thestudy and the analysis of quality must be aimed to understanding, meeting, exceed customer requirements andsurpassing and expectations (Kolarik, 1995).Statistical tools allow measurement and evaluation of the performance in a process to developits quality. The tools frequently used to help decision making. According to Montgomery(2005), Statistical tools can be supportive in developing activities previous to manufacturing, in the measurement process variability, in analyzing this flucuations relative to product requirements or specificationsand in eliminating or greatly reducing variability in process. These tools allow the interpretation of the process by detecting when the variables change and experimentation? by knowing how the variables can vary by experimental designs. (Ott et al., 2000).

# **III. METHODOLOGY**

This stage contains all methods use to improve the quality of garment industry at GulleleCompany depending upon the results of imperfect items recorded durin two consecutive months. It alsodealsabout the way to control the improper defects to reduce reworks, manage time, increaseproductivity and helps to sustain the development of the company concerning global market.Also need to appoint a management representative preferably independent of other functionstobe responsible to supervise the total control system and inspection at each stage of manufacture.

The person selected should have the necessary authority to execute any action related to achieving the desired standard of product. To be effective the system requires planned periodic review by senior management to ensure that its effectiveness is maintained. The general methodology followed to minimize defects rate is given in the following steps as FLOWCHART/Diagram-01









## Figure-1 Methodology approach in defects and reworks minimization

Figure: 2.(Right side)the real photo taken from Sewing section (Line production and <u>Sewing Room Repair Standard Operation Procedures (SOP)</u>



#### Sewing section in gulalle garment)

Above Flow Chart 3. Sewing Room Repair SOP

#### **Sewing Section**

Gullele garment industry has different processing section such as Cutting Section, SewingSection and Finishing section. In this project we have focused on sewing section since it isessential and critical for the production process of the industry.Hence it was found for many defects at thissection. Sewing is the process of attaching different fabric parts by using stitches which is made with a needleand thread. Sewing is one of the basic Ladders and Core operation of



garmentmanufacturing process. Also sewingsection is the most important section of a ready-made garments industry. Differenttypes of imperfections or defects arise in the sewing section which must be addressed to maintain the required amount of qualityof apparel.

For every industry or business organization, to get increased sales and acceptablebrand amongst consumers andfellow companies it is important to maintain a level of quality. In the garment industry the qualitycontrol is rightlypracticed from the initial stage of sorting raw materials upto the finishedgoods or)product.

For textile and apparel industries product quality is calculated in terms of quality and standard of fibers, yarns, fabric construction, colour fastness, surface designs and the final output. However quality expectations for export are interconnected to the type ofcustomer segments and the retail outlets. There is a figure of factors on which quality fitnessof garment industry is based such as performance, reliability, durability, visual and perceivedquality of the item of clothing. Quality needs to be defined in conditions of a particular framework of cost. Here some of major fabric properties those aretaken into consideration for garment manufacturing for export basis:

## Overall view of the garment

- Right formation of the garment
- Feel and fall of the garment. (Durability case)
   Physical properties
- Color fastness of the garment (Color and Design Structure)

## Sewing defects

Types of Defects Found in Sewing Section:

#### Sewing Defects: (1 upto 9)

1. Needle damage: for example, thread drawn-off from the fabric or making of large hole tothe fabrics.

- 2. Skipped stitch.
- 3. Thread drawn-off.
- 4. Seam puckering.
- 5. Wrong stitch density.
- 6. Uneven stitch.
- 7. Staggered stitch.
- 8. Defected stitch.
- 9. Oil spot or stain.

# Seaming Defects: (1 upto 10)

- 1. Uneven width.
- 2. Uneven seam line.
- 3. Not secured by back stitch.
- Seaming Defects Continued)

### 4. Twisting.

5. No matching of check or stripe.

## **Finishing properties**

- Presentation of the final produced garment (Aesthetic Appearance)
- There are certain quality related problems in garment manufacturing that should not be over
- Se wing d e f e c t s Like open seams, wrong stitching techniques used, same colour garment, but usage ofdifferent colour threads on the garment, miss out of stitches in between, creasing of thegarment, erroneous thread tension and raw edges are some sewing defects that couldoccur so should be taken care of.
- Coloureffects Color defects that could occur are – difference of the colour of finalproduced garment to the sample shown, accessories used are of wrong colour combinationand mismatching of dye amongst the pieces.
- Si z i n g d e f e c t s Wrong gradation of sizes, difference in measurement of a garmentpart from other, for example- sleeves of 'XL' size but body of 'L' size. Such defects donot occur has to be seen too.
- ✤ Ga r me nt d e f e c t s During manufacturing process defects could occur like faulty zippers, irregularhemming, loose buttons, raw edges, improper button holes, uneven parts, inappropriatetrimming, and difference in fabric colour.

The following are the three various types of defects identified and Coorective action required on these in thesewingsection:

6. No matching of seam.

7. Unexpected materials are attached with the sewing.

8. Not sewn by matching face side or back side of fabrics.

9. Use of wrong stitch type.

10. Wrong shade matching of sewing thread.

### Assembly Defects: (1upto 9)

1. Defected finished components by size i.e. imperfect size and shape of finished components.

2. Imperfect garments size.

3. Use of wrong ticket.

## **Assembly Defects:(Continued)**

4. Missing of any parts or predetermined designof garments.

5. Imperfect alignment of components i.e. (button, hook and so on) in proper place.

- 6. Wrong placing or creasing of interlining.
- 7. Looseness or tightness of interlining.



International Journal of Advances in Engineering and Management (IJAEM)Volume 2, Issue 5, pp: 489-499 www.ijaem.netISSN: 2395-5252

8. Folding of any parts of garments that is seen to bad appearance.

9. Shade variation of garments.



Figure 4some of defects and their causes (photo taken from Gullele Garment, Addis Ababa, 2018)

Date	Broken Stitch	Skip stitch	Puckering	Uneven stitch	Hole/Damage	Button	Raw edge	Up and Down parts	Shape out	Wrong size	Twisting	Shading	Total Pcs Checked	No of Pcs Accepted	Defective pcs/Rectified Pcs
8-Oct-19						4							41	37	4
11-Oct-19	1												27	26	1
13-Oct-19													23	23	0
17-Oct-19													94	94	0
18-Oct-19	3	2						2					61	54	7
20-Oct-19	2			13							3		220	202	18
21-Oct-19	1			10					3				246	232	14
22-Oct-19	4				3			12			7		588	562	26
23-Oct-19	9		9						1	5			623	599	24
24-Oct-19	6				1		1	20	1	6			579	544	35
25-Oct-19	6			1	1	1		14			9		355	326	29
27-Oct-19	3				2			22					503	476	27
28-Oct-19	6				1			28	1	4			588	548	40
29-Oct-19	6	1			3			9					1012	983	19

DOI: 10.35629/5252-0205489499 | Impact Factor value 7.429 | ISO 9001: 2008 Certified Journal Page 494



30-Oct-19	3							4			2		750	741	9
31-Oct-19	7		2		4				3				1004	988	16
1-Nov-19	3									2		1	350	346	6
3-Nov-19	2				1				1			6	129	118	10
4-Nov-19	2												124	122	2
5-Nov-19	10												630	620	10
6-Nov-19	11			11								1	712	689	23
7-Nov-19	6												54	48	6
19-Nov-19	3	3									4		140	130	10
20-Nov-19	1	3											99	95	4
21-Nov-14													12	12	0
22-Nov-19		1											77	76	1
24-Nov-19	1	6			1			1					121	112	9
25-Nov-19	2	2					1						76	70	5
26-Nov-19		1											40	39	1
29-Nov-19						1	1	2					110	106	4
Total	98	19	11	34	16	5	3	114	10	17	25	8	9388	9018	360

The data collected numbers of defected over the past 2 months (October-November, 2019

# Data collection and analysis

Table-2 Defect category-wise and percentage defectives in the sewing department

Types of Defects	Sewing cumulative Defects	Percentage defects (%)				
Broken Stitch	98	27.20				
Skip Stitch	19	5.28				
Puckering	11	3.06				
Uneven stitch	34	9.44				
Hole/damage	16	4.44				
Hook & Bar/Button	5	1.39				
Raw edge	3	0.83				
Up and Down parts	114	31.70				
Shape out	10	2.78				
Wrong size	17	4.72				
Twisting	25	6.94				
Shading	8	2.22				
Total	360	100%				



## Pareto Analysis and Cause & Effect Diagram Pareto chart

Ouality problems appear in the form of loss, imperfection or defective items and their cost. It is extremely important to clarify the distribution pattern of the loss. Most of the loss will be due to a very fewtypes of defect, and these defects can be attributed to very smaller number of causes. Thus, if thecauses of these vital few defects are identified, we can eliminate almost all the losses by concentrating on these particular causes, leaving aside the other trivial many defects for the timebeing. By using the Pareto diagram, we can solve this type of problem efficiently. Pareto chart isa special type of bar chart where the plotted values are arranged from largest to smallest. APareto chart is used to highlight the most frequently occurring defects, the most common causes of defects, or the most frequent causes of customer complaints. Juran was the first person to point out that the Pareto Principle could be used to quality improvements. The basis is to identify the important vital few from the trivial many.

In this paper to identify the main problems which cause frequent defects of sewing process of Gullele garment, a two months data had been collected (viz., October and November, 2019).



The Actual Rejection (Tables 1 and 2) is grouped in their respective type of defects.



Upon viewing to the above Pareto diagram it shows us the vital views of Gullele Garment were identified. The Process quality of this company has been significantly affected by **Up and down** parts which cover overall 31.7% defects. Consequently, the broken stitch which is 27.2% is thesecond most defects observed from the result. Those two critical issues should be discussed below by Cause and Effect Diagram.

According to the above Pareto diagram the Up and down parts are the most frequent defects with asmuch as 31.70% of the total as shown in Figure 3. Among other defects contribution of brokenstitch is 27.20%, uneven stitch is 9.44%, twisting defect is 6.94% and skip stitch covers 5.28%.

These five types of defects are the "vital few" factors that cause 80.6% of total rejection in

thesewing process of Gullele Garment. Other reasons for defects are wrong size, hole/damage,puckering, shading, shape out, raw edge, hook & bar/button and joint stitch etc.

The table 2shows the percentage of each type of factors for defects in the process with a line of cumulativepercent.

The cause-and-effectdiagrams were constructed by researcher and through brainstorming sessionsinvolving all employees taking and activities. Figures 4, showsthe causeand-effect diagrams for the visual defects and physical defects. The root causes of these three visual defects (working method, material, operator experience) and two physical defects (Machine and Equipments) are affecting very largely the ultimate quality of Gullele garment industries.



Figures 4, Cause and Effect Diagram /Fishbone Diagram

## **IV. RESULT AND DISCUSSION**

Based on the Pareto principle analysis of 80/20 rule, in sewing process department it is observed that the defect so called 'Up anddown parts' ranked number -1of all defects by 31.70 %, then 'Broken stitch' is in the Second positionby 27.20 % ofdefects, then 'Uneven stitch' as third ranked by 9.44 % then 'Twisting' type defect isranked fourth contributing 6.94 % and 'Skip stitch'by 5.28% of defects.

The above shows that the top priority defects through the CED methodology has been used to identify theroot causes in different processing steps of the production garment.Application of CED Diagram in garment Manufacturing Industry creates new chances forachieving a better quality of products (final and intermediate) and higher productioneffectiveness. This can be accomplished by extraction and visualization of the knowledge hiddenin the recorded past data.

However, some recommendation to control defective items from the factory were suggested as follows,

To make the workplace clean: - From fabric store, cutting section, sewing section, to washing and finishing.Place material system in proper place. This refers that sufficient numbers of inspectors, trainedcheckers, proper reporting, as well as analysis of reports and take Corrective action based on the feedback system and reports.

To Conduct training program for the inspectors on how to check piececorrectly to capture defective pieces.

To Train personnel to make garment checking reports. To Runquality awareness program for employees. Quality standard must be understood by allemployees and everybody has to work to meet quality goal. In sewing line by not



allowing operators/Workers to keep bundlesopen and each bundle must be completed before forwarding to the next stages. Sometimes operators throw pieces under tables during the movement create ambiguity during process by mixing defective one with good materials moved to next stage for further operation.Nobody keeps trackof these missing pieces until they found shortage of garments in finishing. Set standard operatingprocedures (SOP) for each task performed byworkersis necessary to maintain quality control systemin each and every department.

Defect Types	Rank	Root cause	Corrective Action							
Up and Down	1	Carelessness of operator	Improve dimension of bottom or top stop							
Parts		· Poorly attachment of	<ul> <li>Giving attention for the respective operation</li> </ul>							
		bottom and top part of								
		m/c								
Broken Stitch	2	Broken thread	Training workers to handle the garment properly							
		Incorrect thread tension	> Thread trimming should not be done							
		• Abrasive handling of	aggressively							
		pieces	<ul> <li>Manage the thread feed and tension</li> </ul>							
Uneven Stitch	3	Feeding	Speed control							
		Operator	> Use proper pressure with skilled human labor							
		Pressure								
Twisting	4	<ul> <li>Misalignment</li> </ul>	Sewing operator should match the front and back							
		Trimming	properly so they come out the same length.							
		<ul> <li>Lack of adjustment</li> </ul>	> Notches might be used to ensure proper							
		<ul> <li>Poor operator</li> </ul>	alignment.							
			> Ensure that operator does not trim off the front							
			or back with scissors to make them come out the							
			same length.							
			> Make sure the sewing machine is adjusted							
			properly for uniform feeding of the top and							
			bottom plies.							
Skip stitch	5	In correct thread tension	> Use reinforced needles							
		<ul> <li>Use of bent needles</li> </ul>	Set the needle guard to ensure needle clearance							
			Manage thread tension							

# Recommended Corrective Action for sewing defects

# REFERENCES

- Atienza,O. O., Ang,B.W.,& Tang, L. C. (1997). Statistical process control and forecasting.International Journal of Quality Science, 2(1), 37-51.
- [2]. Attaran, M. (2000). Why does reengineering fail. A practical guide for successful implementation. Journal of Management Development, 19(9), 794-801.
- [3]. Bester field, D. H., Michna, C. B., Bester field, G. H., & Sarce, B. M. (2003). Total quality management. 3rd Edition, Prentice Hall.
- [4]. Booker, J. D. (2003). Industrial practice in designing for quality. International Journal

of Quality and Reliability Management, 20(3), 288-303.

- [5]. Deming, W. E. (1950). Some theory of sampling. 3rd Edition, New York: John Wiley.
- [6]. Devor, R. E, Chang, T., & Sutherland, J. W. (2007). Statistical quality design and control: Contemporary concepts and methods. 2nd Edition, Pearson Prentice Hall.
- [7]. Ethiopian Standard, ES 117:2001. Glass bottles-Samples. 2nd Edition (2001-06-27), Quality and Standards Authority of Ethiopia (QSAE), Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- [8]. Ishikawa, K. (1985). What is total quality control. New Jersey: Prentice Hall.



- [9]. Karuppusami, G., & Gandhinathan, R. (2006). Pareto analysis of critical success factors of total quality management. The TQM Magazine, 18(4), 372-385.
- [10]. Kolarik, W. (1995). Creating quality-Concepts, systems, strategies, and tools. New York: McGraw Hill.
- [11]. Mason, B., & Antony, J. (2000). Statistical process control: An essential ingredient for improving service and manufacturing quality. Managing Service Quality, 10(4), 233-238.
- [12]. Montgomery, D. (2005). Introduction to statistical quality control. 5th Edition, New York:
- [13]. John Wiley. Ott, E. R., Schilling, E. G., &Neuberger, D. V. (2000). Process quality control. 3rd Edition, New York:
- [14]. McGraw-Hill.Pavletic, D., Sokovic, M., &Paliska, G. (2008). Practical application of quality tools. International Journal for Quality Research, 2(3).
- [15]. Talbot, N. (2003). The use of automated optical testing (AOT) in statistical process control (SPC) for printed circuit board (PCB) production. Circuit World, 29(4), 19-22.
- [16]. Yang, S. F., & Yang, C. (2004). Economic statistical process control for over-adjusted process mean. International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management, 21(4), 412-424.